

Nov 14 + 21

Nov 14 1973

John Backus

Class notes - Red languagesSyntax

We begin with a set A of atoms. We shall generally assume that A is composed of sequences of capital letters and digits.

In particular we assume:

$\$, \emptyset, T, F \in A$ and $\perp \notin A$

$\emptyset$ is an atom which is used in place of the empty sequence. T and F are used for "true" and "false". $\perp$, "bottom", will be used later on to denote the value of a non-terminating calculation. $\$$, "unspecified" is an ^{atom} ~~expression~~ used as the value of an expression when no sensible value exists.

Formation rules for the set E of expressions:

- ① Any atom is an expression.
- ~~② $\$$ is an expression.~~
- ② If $e_1, \dots, e_n$ are expressions then

$$(e_1, \dots, e_n)$$
 is an expression for $n=1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$$
 is an expression.
- ③ There are no expressions except those which can be obtained by a finite number of applications of ①, ② and ③.

Expressions of the form $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ are called sequences [of length n]. Sequences of length 2 are called pairs. Expressions $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ are called applications; e_1 is the operator and e_2 is the operand. ~~ϕ is the unspecified expression.~~

If $e = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ or $e = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$, then $e_1, \dots, e_n$ are the components of e . e_1 is a subexpression of e iff: (a) $e = e_1$, or (b) e_1 is a component of e or (c) e_1 is a subexpression of a component of e .

The set $C \subseteq E$ is the set of expressions which have no applications as subexpressions; such expressions are called constants.

Examples of constants

A ; A^2B ; $(X, (Y, Z, W))$; ϕ ; $\emptyset$

Examples of expressions

A ; $(A, \langle B, C \rangle)$; $(\langle \langle \phi, A \rangle, (B, C) \rangle, D, E)$

Semantics

The semantics of a Red language is determined by its semantic function μ , a partial function which maps an expression e into its meaning, μe , a constant [for some expressions e , μe is not defined]. Finding the meaning of an expression e merely involves replacing each innermost application ~~$\langle e, f \rangle$~~ $\langle f, g \rangle$ in e by its meaning $\mu \langle f, g \rangle$. If $\langle f, g \rangle$ is an innermost application, then f and g are constants and $\mu \langle f, g \rangle = \mu h$ where h is the expression resulting from applying the function "represented" by f to g . When all applications have been eliminated from e by repetitions of the above process, the resulting constant is μe . If the process is non-terminating, μe is undefined.

Below we define μ recursively for all expressions. The definition depends on two auxiliary functions, $\hat{\rho}$ and ψ . $\hat{\rho}$ is the "representation function" of the Red language restricted to atoms: $\hat{\rho}a$, for any atom a , is a function from C into E .

Ψ is the "meta predicate", Ψc is true when c is a "meta expression" and false when c is a "regular" expression. Ψ depends on $\hat{\Psi}$ [Ψ restricted to atoms]. The specification of the ^{set A of} atoms and of ^{the functions} $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\Phi}$ on A determines a particular Red language. All other aspects of Red languages are fixed by the following definitions:

For all $x \in E$:

~~(1a)~~ $\mu x \equiv$ ~~$x \in A \rightarrow x$~~

(1b) $x \in A \rightarrow x$

(1c) $x = (e_1, \dots, e_n) \rightarrow (\mu e_1, \dots, \mu e_n)$

(1d) $x = \langle e, f \rangle \ \& \ e, f \in C \rightarrow$

~~(1d1)~~ ~~$x = \langle e, f \rangle \rightarrow \mu \langle \hat{\rho} e, f \rangle$~~

(1d2) $e \in A \rightarrow \mu \cdot [\hat{\rho} e] f$

(1d3) $e = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \ \& \ \Psi c_i \rightarrow \mu \langle c_1, (e_1, \dots, e_n) \rangle$

(1d4) $e = (c_1) \rightarrow \mu \langle c_1, f \rangle$

(1d5) $e = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \rightarrow \mu \langle c_1, \langle (c_2, \dots, c_n), f \rangle \rangle$

(1e) $x = \langle e, f \rangle \rightarrow \mu \langle \mu e, \mu f \rangle$

(2) For all $c \in C$:

~~$\Psi c \equiv c \in A \rightarrow \hat{\Psi} c$~~

(2a) $\Psi c \equiv c \in A \rightarrow \hat{\Psi} c$

(2b) $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \ \& \ c_1 = \emptyset \rightarrow T$

(2c) $T \rightarrow F$

(5)

To illustrate the use of these definitions we first define $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\Psi}$ for two atoms, DBL and FST:

$$[\hat{\rho}^{DBL}] x \equiv x = \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}; \quad \text{~~to~~ } (x, x) \leftarrow$$

$$\hat{\psi}_{DBL} \equiv F$$

$$[\hat{\rho} \text{ FST}]_x \equiv x = ((c, d), (e, f)) \rightarrow (\langle d, e \rangle, f); \quad \S$$

$$\hat{\psi}_{\text{EFT}} = T$$

We now use these definitions to find the meaning of the following two expressions:

$$\mu \langle (DBL, DBL), A \rangle \equiv \mu \langle DBL, \langle (DBL), A \rangle \rangle \quad \text{by (ids)}$$

$$\equiv \mu < \mu^{DBL}, \mu < (DBL), A > > \quad \text{by (1e)}$$

$$\equiv \mu < DBL, \mu < DBL, A >> \quad \text{by (1b) and (1d4)}$$

$$\equiv \mu \langle \text{DBL}, \mu(A, A) \rangle \quad \text{by } (1d2)$$

$$\exists \mu < \text{DBL}, (\mu A, \mu A) > \quad \text{by (ic)}$$

$$\equiv \mu < \text{DBL}, (A, A) > \quad \text{by (1b)}$$

$$\equiv \mu((A, A), (A, A)) \quad \text{by (Id2)}$$

$$\equiv (\mu(A, A), \mu(A, A)) \equiv ((\mu A, \mu A), (\mu A, \mu A))$$

$$\equiv ((A, A), (A, A)) \quad \text{by} \quad (1a) \text{ and } (1b)$$

A lot of trivial steps can be eliminated if we observe that μ can be found by repeatedly replacing an innermost application by the appropriate right hand side of (1d2) through (1d5) but without the " μ ".

Thus the same evaluation looks like this:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle (\text{DBL}, \text{DBL}), A \rangle &\xrightarrow{\text{id5}} \langle \text{DBL}, \langle (\text{DBL}) A \rangle \rangle \\
 &\xrightarrow{\text{id4}} \langle \text{DBL}, \langle \text{DBL}, A \rangle \rangle \\
 &\xrightarrow{\text{id2}} \langle \text{DBL}, (A A) \rangle \\
 &\xrightarrow{\text{id2}} ((A A), (A, A))
 \end{aligned}$$

We now use this latter method to evaluate our second example which involves the "meta expression" FST.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle (\text{FST}, \text{DBL}), (A, B) \rangle &\xrightarrow{\text{id3}} \langle \text{FST}, ((\text{FST}, \text{DBL}), (A, B)) \rangle \\
 &\xrightarrow{\text{id2}} (\langle \text{DBL}, A \rangle, B) \\
 &\xrightarrow{\text{id2}} ((A, A), B)
 \end{aligned}$$